

Role of Quality Education and Professional Skills in Perceived Employability among Professionals: A Case of Potential Managers

Dr. Ashfaq Ahmad¹, Humaira Yasmeen²

Abstract

This study examines the role of quality education and professional skills in self-perceived employability among professionals. Higher education sector is an essential for creation of suitable human capital with desired skill-set according to dynamic changes and demand of the emerging markets at local, regional and global level. It aims to conduct comparative investigation among potential project managers by assessing the influence of academic performance and selected skills in the perceived employability among individuals who were enrolled in professional degree programs at two educational streams i.e. distance learning (off campus) as well as on-campus. The data is collected from professionals who are pursuing MS in Project Management at both educational streams i.e. distance learning (off campus) and on-campus through a structured questionnaire in English. Selected statistical tools enable to analyze the data by application of Descriptive Statistics, Independent T-test, Pearson's Correlation and Regression analysis etc. through SPSS. Results indicate that both academic performance and Professional Skills have positive correlation with Perceived Employability. However, academic performance has comparatively stronger association to perceived employability in case of on campus stream. Moreover, it is also evident from findings that professionals who are pursuing on-campus degree program reported better academic performance and more Professional Skills have greater perceived employability scores as compared to professionals who were enrolled in distance learning programs (off-campus). This study could help to enhance the understanding of relevant stakeholders for the selected variables to address the prevailing issues indicated especially in case of distance learning programs for professionals.

Keywords: Quality education, professional skills, perceived employability
Introduction

¹Assistant Professor, Noon Business School, University of Sargodha, Sargodha

² MS Scholar, COMSATS University Islamabad (Virtual Campus)

This study examines the role of quality education and professional skills in self- perceived employability among professionals. Higher education sector is an essential for creation of suitable human capital with desired skill-set according to dynamic changes and demand of the emerging markets at local, regional and global level. It aims to conduct comparative investigation among potential project managers by assessing the influence of academic performance and selected skills in the perceived employability among individuals who were enrolled in professional degree programs at two educational streams i.e. distance learning (off campus) as well as on-campus. The objectives of the study were to examine influence of quality of education (academic performance) and professional skills on perceived employability of professionals who aspire to become potential managers. to compare quality of education and professional skills on perceived employability of professionals who are availing online education and on-campus education

Methodology

The data is collected from professionals who are pursuing MS in Project Management at both educational streams i.e. distance learning (off campus) and on-campus through a structured questionnaire in English. Population of the study consist upon professional who pursuing MS Project Management degree and considered as potential managers in the two universities i.e. COMSATS University, Islamabad and Riphah International University, Islamabad. A sample of 700 professionals was selected from the both universities to get their response through convenience sampling. Research Instrument developed in the light of existing literature (Príncipe, 2005; Martha, 2009; Gargallo et al. 2009; Linda and Jackson, 2013). Five point likert scale was used ranging from strongly agree to strongly disagree to quantify the responses. Selected statistical tools enable to analyze the data by application of Descriptive Statistics, Independent T-test, Pearson's Correlation and Regression analysis etc. through SPSS.

Results

Table 1

Correlation between Academic Performance, Professional skills and Perceived Employability

Construct/Variables	R	Sig.
----------------------------	----------	-------------

Academic Performance and Perceived Employability	.755**	.0000
Professional Skills and Perceived Employability	.722**	.0000

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The value of correlation between Professional Skills and Perceived Employability is .755 which shows that its correlation is positive and significant (shown by the p value .000). It shows that perception about employability is directly related with Professional Skills. As one gains and improves more and more Professional Skills, one's perception about employability improves. Similarly, a positive association is observed between professional skills and perceived employability i.e. 0.722 It means a person having good academic performance tends to have more skills or better learning of Professional Skills leads to improved academic performance. All these relations are measured through 2-tailed test at 0.01 level of significant. As the results shows that the value of Pearson correlation for academic performance is .755 and for professional skill is .722 that indicates a positive association among selected variables. The influence of selected variables is indicated by Table 2 that reveals coefficients of regression model.

Table 2

Summary of Professional Skills and Academic Performance on Perceived Employability

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.793 ^a	.629	.626	.40389

a. Predictors: (Constant), Professional Skills, Academic performance

Table 2 shows that the Value of R² is .629 for defined model. It demonstrates the affect of academic performance and Professional Skills on Perceived Employability. It means that 62.9% changes in Perceived Employability are explained by these two factors. While value of standard

error shows that 40.389% changes are due to other factors. These selected variables don't explain 40.389% changes in the dependent variables.

Table 3

ANOVA for Professional Skills, Academic Performance and Perceived Employability

	Model	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	53.213	2	26.607	163.102	.000 ^a
	Residual	31.321	192	.163		
	Total	84.534	194			

Table 3 indicates the Value of F statistic for selected model is 163.102 with p-value 0.000 which shows that the purposed model fits the regression line and linearity exist between dependent and independent variables. Moreover, coefficients of regression are placed in Table 4.

Table 4

Coefficient of Regression: Professional Skills, Academic Performance & Perceived Employability

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients		Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta	t	
1	(Constant)	.330	.198		1.663	.098
	Academic performance	.566	.075	.489	7.503	.000
	Professional Skills	.326	.059	.360	5.520	.000

a. Dependent Variable: Perceived Employability

Table 4 shows the coefficient of regression almost the same thing as explained by R2 but its individual value for each variables shows which one explains more changes in dependent variables. The beta value of academic performance is 0.566 with standard error 0.075 and for Professional Skills is 0.326 with standard error 0.059. It means that academic performance affects Perceived Employability more as

compared to Professional Skills. Table 5 and 6 presents the output of Independent T-test.

Table 5

Group Statistics for Professional Skills of On-campus and Distance Learning

	Mode of study	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Professional Skills	Distance learning	124	3.5185	.68367	.06140
	On campus	71	3.8351	.76649	.09097

Table 5 shows that the sample data is grouped into two categories on campus and distance learning. This table shows that how many respondents were from on campus institute and from distance learning institutes (N), their mean and standard deviation. This is aim to explore what is difference between Professional Skills of distance learning and on campus institutes. The table 4.12 shows more respondent belong to distance learning institute (124) with mean 3.5185 with standard deviation .68367, and respondent belongs to on campus students are 71 with mean 3.8351 and standard deviation .76649. The table shows that the mean value of Professional Skills of on-campus students is high then distance learning students.

Table 6

Independent t Test of Professional Skills of On-Campus and Distance Learning

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means		95% Confidence Interval of the Difference				
	F	Sig.	T	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Diff.	Std. Error Diff.	Lower	Upper	
Professional Skills	Equal variances assumed	.702	.403	2.976	193	.003	-.31663	.10638	-.52645	.10681

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means				95% Confidence Interval of the Difference		
		F	Sig.	T	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Diff.	Std. Error Diff.	Lower	Upper
Professional Skills	Equal variances assumed	.702	.403	-2.976	193	.003	-.31663	.10638	-.52645	-.10681
	Equal variances not assumed			-2.885	132.636	.005	-.31663	.10975	-.53371	-.09955

Table 6 contains the result of Levene's test for Equality of Variances and t-test for Equality of Means. In the table the value of P for F statistic is .403 that is greater than alpha (0.05) this shows the variance is equally distributed. It reveals that T-value for academic performance is -2.976 and P=0.003 value of P is less than alpha (0.05) so there is significance difference of on campus students and distance learning students in case of Professional Skills.

Table 7

Group Statistics for Perceived Employability of On-campus and Distance Learning

	Mode of study	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Perceived Employability	Distance learning	124	3.6310	.63415	.05695
	On campus	71	3.8257	.69033	.08193

Source: Generated

Table 7 and 8 reflects that the sample data is grouped into two categories on campus and distance learning. This table shows that how many respondents were from on campus institute and from distance learning

institutes (N), their mean and standard deviation. This is aim to explore what is difference between Perceived Employability of distance learning and on campus institutes. The table 4.14 shows more respondent belong to distance learning institute (124) with mean 3.6310 with standard deviation .63415, and respondent belongs to on campus students are 71 with mean 3.8257 and standard deviation .69033 The value of mean shows that Perceived Employability of on-campus students is high than distance learning students.

Table 8

Independent T-test of Perceived Employability of On-campus and Distance Learning

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	T	Df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Dif.	Std. Error Diff	95% Confidence Interval	
									Lower	Upper
Perceived Employability	Equal variances assumed	.816	.367	-1.997	193	.047	-.19466	.09749	-.38694	-.00237
	Equal variances not assumed			-1.951	135.928	.053	-.19466	.09978	-.39197	.00266

Table 8 contains the result of Levene's test for Equality of Variances and t-test for Equality of Means. In the table the value of P for F statistic is .367 that is greater than alpha (0.05) this shows the variance is equally distributed. It shows that the T value for academic performance is -1.997 and P=0.047, value of P is less then alpha (0.05) so there is significance difference of on campus students and distance learning students in case of Perceived Employability.

Conclusion

Results indicate that both academic performance and Professional Skills have positive correlation with Perceived Employability. However, academic performance has comparatively stronger association to

perceived employability in case of on campus stream. Moreover, it is also evident from findings that professionals who are pursuing on-campus degree program reported better academic performance and more Professional Skills have greater perceived employability scores as compared to professionals who were enrolled in distance learning programs (off-campus). It is may be due to their interaction with colleagues and teachers in actual environment where one can learn a lot from others' experiences. This study could help to enhance the understanding of relevant stakeholders for the selected variables to address the prevailing issues indicated especially in case of distance learning programs for professionals.

Reference

- Arnsten, A., Raskind, M., Taylor, F., & Connor, D. (2015). The effects of stress exposure on prefrontal cortex: Translating basic research into successful treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Neurobiology of Stress*, *1*, 89-99. doi: 10.1016/j.yjnstr.2014.10.002
- Bernotaite, L., & Malinauskiene, V. (2017). Workplace bullying and mental health among teachers in relation to psychosocial job characteristics and burnout. *International Journal of Occupational Medicine And Environmental Health*. doi: 10.13075/ijomeh.1896.00943
- Bennett, J. (2010). *Vibrant matter*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Cullinan, J., Hodgins, M., Hogan, V., McDermott, M., & Walsh, S. (2019). Bullying and Work-Related Stress in the Irish Workplace. *Societies*, *9*(1), 15
- Arnsten, A. F., Raskind, M. A., Taylor, F. B., & Connor, D. F. (2015). The effects of stress exposure on prefrontal cortex: Translating basic research into successful treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Neurobiology of stress*, *1*, 89-99.
- Arnsten, A. F., Raskind, M.A., Taylor, F.B., ^ Connor, D.F. (2015). The effects of stress exposure on prefrontal cortex; Translating basic research into successful treatments for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Neurobiology of stress*, *1*, 88-99.
- Bernotaite, L., & Malinauskiene, V. (2017). Workplace bullying and mental health among teachers in relation to psychosocial job characteristics and burnout. *International Journal of occupational medicine and environmental health*, *30*(4), 629.
- Bernotaite, L., & Malinauskiene, V. (2017). Workplace bullying and mental health among teachers in relation to psychosocial job

- characteristics and burnout. *International journal of occupational medicine and environmental health*, 30(4), 629.
- Bennett, J. (2009). *Vibrant matter: A political ecology of things*. Duke University Press.
- Castillo, J. J. (2009). Research population. Retrieved August, 3, 2011.
- Cherniss, C., & Goleman, D. (2001). The emotionally intelligence workplace. *How to select for measure and improve emotional intelligence in individuals, groups and organizations san Francisco: Jossey-Bass*.
- Cullinan, J., Hodgins, M., Hogan, V., McDermott, M., & Walsh, S. (2019). Bullying and Work-Related Stress in the Irish Workplace. *Societies*, 9(1), 15.
- Cabello, R., and Fernández-Berrocal, P. (2015). Implicit theories and ability emotional intelligence. *Front. Psychol.* 2015:700. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00700
- Cherniss, C., and Goleman, D. (2001). *The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace: How to Select For, Measure, and Improve Emotional Intelligence in Individuals, Groups, and Organizations*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Inc Pub
- Cole, M.S., Shipp, A.J. and Taylor, S.G. (2016), "Viewing the interpersonal mistreatment literature through a temporal lens", *Organizational Psychology Review*, Vol. 6 No. 3, pp. 273-302.
- D'Cruz, P., Paull, M., Omari, M., & Guneri-Cangarli, B. (2016). Target experiences of workplace bullying: insights from Australia, India and Turkey. *Employee Relations*, 38(5), 805-823.
- Devonish, D. (2013), "Workplace bullying, employee performance and behaviors: the mediating role of psychological well-being", *Employee Relations*, Vol. 35 No. 6, pp. 630-647.
- Devonish, D. (2017). Dangers of workplace bullying: evidence from the Caribbean. *Journal of aggression, conflict and peace research*, 9(1), 69-80.
- Einarsen, S., Hoel, H., Zapf, D., and Cooper, C. (2011). *Bullying and Harassment in the Workplace: Developments in Theory, Research, and Practice*. 2nd Edn. London: CRC Press
- Einarsen, S., Matthiesen, S. B., and Skogstad, A. (1998). Bullying, burnout and wellbeing among assistant nurses. *J. Occup. Health Saf. - Aust. N. Z.* 14, 263-268
- Elkington, K. S., Bauermeister, J. A., and Zimmerman, M. A. (2010). Psychological distress, substance use, and HIV/STI risk behaviors among youth. *J. Youth Adolesc.* 39, 514-527. doi: 10.1007/s10964-010-9524-7

- Einarsen, S., & Nielsen, M. B. (2015). Workplace bullying as an antecedent of mental health problems: a five-year prospective and representative study. *International archives of occupational and environmental health*, 88(2), 131-142.
- Finne, L. B., Knardahl, S., and Lau, B. (2011). Workplace bullying and mental distress - a prospective study of Norwegian employees. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* 37, 276-287. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3156
- Fox, S., and Stallworth, L. E. (2010). The battered apple: an application of stressor-emotion control/support theory to teachers' experience of violence and bullying. *Hum. Relat.* 63, 927-954. doi: 10.1177/0018726709349518
- Finne, L. B., Knardahl, S., and Lau, B. (2011). Workplace bullying and mental distress - a prospective study of Norwegian employees. *Scand. J. Work Environ. Health* 37, 276-287. doi: 10.5271/sjweh.3156
- Goldsmid, S., & Howie, P. (2013). Mediating and moderating role of attributional style in the association between victimisation and wellbeing. *Emotional and behavioural difficulties*, 18(4), 423-434.
- Giorgi, G., & Majer, V. (2008). Measuring workplace bullying in Italy: a study in twenty one organizations. *RISORSA UOMO*
- Giorgi, G., Perminienè, M., Montani, F., Fiz-Perez, J., Mucci, N., & Arcangeli, G. (2016). Detrimental effects of workplace bullying: impediment of self-management competence via psychological distress. *Frontiers in psychology*, 7, 60.
- Gupta, R., Bakhshi, A., & Einarsen, S. (2017). Investigating workplace bullying in India: Psychometric properties, validity, and cutoff scores of negative acts questionnaire-revised. *Sage Open*, 7(2), 2158244017715674.
- Glasø, L., Matthiesen, S. B., Nielsen, M. B., and Einarsen, S. (2007). Do targets of workplace bullying portray a general victim personality profile? *Scand. J. Psychol.* 48, 313-319. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2007.00554.
- Goleman, D. (1998). *Working with Emotional Intelligence*. New York, NY: Bantam/Doubleday/Dell
- Hurley, J., Hutchinson, M., Bradbury, J., & Browne, G. (2016). Nexus between preventive policy inadequacies, workplace bullying, and mental health: Qualitative findings from the experiences of Australian public sector employees. *International journal of mental health nursing*, 25(1), 12-18.

- Hauge, L. J., Skogstad, A., and Einarsen, S. (2010). The relative impact of workplace bullying as a social stressor at work. *Scand. J. Psychol.* 51, 426–433. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2010.00813.
- Hobfoll, S. E., & Shirom, A. (2001). Conservation of resources theory: Applications to stress and management in the workplace.
- Hershcovis, M.S. (2011), “ ‘Incivility, social undermining, bullying ... oh my!’: A call to reconcile constructs within workplace aggression research”, *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, Vol. 32 No. 3, pp. 499-519.
- Jacobs, R. (2001). “Using HR functions to enhance EI,” in *The Emotionally Intelligent Workplace: How to Select For, Measure, and Improve Emotional Intelligence in Individuals, Groups, and Organizations*, eds C. Cherniss and D. Goleman (San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Inc. Publisher), 159–181
- Joseph, D. L., & Newman, D. A. (2010). Emotional intelligence: an integrative meta-analysis and cascading model. *Journal of applied psychology*, 95(1), 54.
- Kay, A.C., Gaucher, D., Napier, J.L., Callan, M.J. and Laurin, K. (2008), “God and the government: testing a compensatory control mechanism for the support of external systems”, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 95 No. 1, pp. 18-35.
- Karim, J. (2009). Emotional intelligence and psychological distress: testing the mediatory role of affectivity. *Eur. J. Psychol.* 5, 20–39. doi: 10.5964/ejop.v5i4.238
- Khalili, A. (2011). Gender differences in emotional intelligence among employees of small and medium enterprise: an empirical study. *J. Int. Manag. Stud.* 6, 184–193
- Lam, C.K., Huang, X. and Janssen, O. (2010), “Contextualizing emotional exhaustion and positive emotional display: the signaling effects of supervisors’ emotional exhaustion and service climate”, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 95 No. 2, pp. 368-376.
- Magee, C., Gordon, R., Robinson, L., Reis, S., Caputi, P., & Oades, L. (2015). Distinct workplace bullying experiences and sleep quality: A person-centred approach. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 87, 200-205
- Maslach, C., Schaufeli, W. B., and Leiter, M. P. (2001). Job burnout. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* 52, 397–422. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.52.1.397
- Mayer, J. D., and Salovey, P. (1997). “What is emotional intelligence?,” in *Emotional Development and Emotional Intelligence: Implications for Educators*, eds P. Salovey and D. Sluyter (New York, NY: Basic Books), 3–31.

- Neall, A. M., & Tuckey, M. R. (2014). A methodological review of research on the antecedents and consequences of workplace harassment. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 87(2), 225-257.
- Nielsen, M. B., and Einarsen, S. (2012). Outcomes of exposure to workplace bullying: a meta-analytic review. *Work Stress* 26, 309–332. doi: 10.1080/02678373.2012.734709
- Rodríguez-Muñoz, A., Baillien, E., De Witte, H., Moreno-Jiménez, B. and Pastor, J.C. (2009), “Cross-lagged relationships between workplace bullying, job satisfaction and engagement: two longitudinal studies”, *Work & Stress*, Vol. 23 No. 3, pp. 225-243.
- Rossiter, L., & Sochos, A. (2018). Workplace bullying and burnout: The moderating effects of social support. *Journal of Aggression, Maltreatment & Trauma*, 27(4), 386-408.
- Rai, A., & Agarwal, U. A. (2018). Workplace bullying and employee silence: A moderated mediation model of psychological contract violation and workplace friendship. *Personnel Review*, 47(1), 226-256.
- Salovey, P., and Mayer, J. D. (1990). Emotional intelligence. *Imagin. Cogn. Pers.* 9, 185–211
- Ashkanasy, N. M., & Daus, C. S. (2005). Rumors of the death of emotional intelligence in organizational behavior are vastly exaggerated. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(4), 441-452.
- van Woerkom, M., Bakker, A.B. and Nishii, L.H. (2016), “Accumulative job demands and support for strength use: fine-tuning the job demands-resources model using conservation of resources theory”, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 101 No. 1, pp. 141-150